

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Richmond Division**

UHURU'SEKOU KAMARA AJANI)
OBATAIYE)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
MICHAEL DUKE, *et al.*,)
Defendants.)
Civil Action No. 3:17CV558-HEH

MEMORANDUM OPINION
(Dismissing Action Without Prejudice)

Plaintiff, a Virginia inmate proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. In order to state a viable claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege that a person acting under color of state law deprived him or her of a constitutional right or of a right conferred by a law of the United States. *See Dowe v. Total Action Against Poverty in Roanoke Valley*, 145 F.3d 653, 658 (4th Cir. 1998) (citing 42 U.S.C. § 1983). Plaintiff's current rambling allegations fail to provide each defendant with fair notice of the facts and legal basis upon which his or her liability rests. *See Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (quoting *Conley v. Gibson*, 355 U.S. 41, 47 (1957)). Moreover, Plaintiff does not identify the particular constitutional right that was violated by the defendants' conduct.

Accordingly, by Memorandum Order entered on March 13, 2018, the Court directed Plaintiff to submit a particularized complaint within fourteen (14) days of the

date of entry thereof. The Court warned Plaintiff that the failure to submit the particularized complaint would result in the dismissal of the action.

More than fourteen (14) days have elapsed since the entry of the March 13, 2018 Memorandum Order. Plaintiff failed to submit a particularized complaint or otherwise respond to the March 13, 2018 Memorandum Order. Accordingly, the action will be dismissed without prejudice.

An appropriate order will accompany this Memorandum Opinion.


/s/
HENRY E. HUDSON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: April 13, 2018
Richmond, Virginia